

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NORMA VIRIYAPUNT,

Plaintiff,

v.

CENTRAL STATE CREDIT UNION,

Defendant.

No. 2:24-cv-02078-DC-AC

ORDER DENYING WITHOUT PREJUDICE
MOTION TO APPOINT PAUL
VIRIYAPUNT AS GUARDIAN AD LITEM

(Doc. No. 20)

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff Norma Viryapunt's motion to appoint Paul Viryapunt's as guardian *ad litem*. (Doc. No. 20.) No opposition to the pending motion has been filed. The pending motion was taken under submission to be decided on the papers pursuant to Local Rule 230(g). (Doc. No. 24) For the reasons explained below, the court will deny Plaintiff's motion, without prejudice.

Under Rule 17 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, “[a] minor or an incompetent person who does not have a duly appointed representative may sue by a next friend or by a guardian *ad litem*.” “The court must appoint a guardian *ad litem*—or issue another appropriate order—to protect a minor or incompetent person who is unrepresented in an action.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 17(c)(2). A representative of a minor or incompetent person may sue or defend on the minor or incompetent person's behalf. Fed. R. Civ. P. 17(c).

The appointment of the guardian *ad litem* is more than a mere formality. *United States v.*

1 30.64 Acres of Land, More or Less, Situated in Klickitat Cnty., State of Wash., 795 F.2d 796, 805
2 (9th Cir. 1986). A court shall take whatever measures it deems appropriate to protect the interests
3 of the individual during the litigation. *Id.* The guardian need not possess any special
4 qualifications, but he must “be truly dedicated to the best interests of the person on whose behalf
5 he seeks to litigate.” *AT&T Mobility, LLC v. Yeager*, 143 F. Supp. 3d 1042, 1054 (E.D. Cal.
6 2015) (quoting *Whitmore v. Arkansas*, 495 U.S. 149, 163-64 (1990)).

7 In this district, Local Rule 202(a) further provides, in pertinent part:

8 Upon commencement of an action or upon initial appearance in
9 defense of an action by or on behalf of a minor . . . the attorney
10 representing the minor or incompetent person shall present . . . a
11 motion for the appointment of a guardian *ad litem* by the Court, or
12 . . . a showing satisfactory to the Court that no such appointment is
13 necessary to ensure adequate representation of the minor or
14 incompetent person.

15 L.R. 202(a) (citing Fed. R. Civ. P. 17(c)). Local Rule 202 also requires disclosure of the
16 attorney’s interest, specifically requiring the following:

17 When the minor or incompetent is represented by an attorney, it shall
18 be disclosed to the Court by whom and the terms under which the
19 attorney was employed; whether the attorney became involved in the
20 application at the instance of the party against whom the causes of
21 action are asserted, directly or indirectly; whether the attorney stands
22 in any relationship to that party; and whether the attorney has
23 received or expects to receive any compensation, from whom, and
24 the amount.

25 L.R. 202(c).

26 The decision to appoint a guardian *ad litem* “must normally be left to the sound discretion
27 of the trial court.” *30.64 Acres of Land*, 795 F.2d at 804.

28 Here, the proposed guardian *ad litem* Paul Viriyapunt represents that he is the son of
29 Plaintiff, who is suffering from dementia. (Doc. No. 20-1 at ¶¶ 4-5.) Paul Viriyapunt represents
30 Plaintiff is unable to manage her affairs and submits a copy of an executed power of attorney for
31 Plaintiff and a declaration from Dr. Richelle Parazo Cruz in support of those representations.
32 (Doc. Nos. 20-1 at ¶¶ 4-5; 20-2; 20-3.) Paul Viriyapunt also represents he believes his
33 appointment as guardian *ad litem* is in Plaintiff’s best interest and if he is appointed, he would
34 operate with Plaintiff’s best interest in mind. (Doc. No. 20-1 at 20-1 at ¶¶ 7-8.) Based on these

1 representations, the court finds Paul Viriyapunt to be an appropriate guardian *ad litem* for
2 Plaintiff, and that the requirements of Local Rule 202(a) have been satisfied. However, Plaintiff's
3 counsel has not provided the disclosure of attorney's interest as required by Local Rule 202(c).
4 For this reason, the court will deny Plaintiff's motion for appointment as guardian *ad litem*
5 without prejudice to her re-filing a revised motion or notice that complies with the requirements
6 of Local Rule 202(c).

7 Accordingly:

8 1. Plaintiff's motion to appoint Paul Viriyapunt as guardian *ad litem* (Doc. No. 20) is
9 denied, without prejudice, due to noncompliance with the requirements of Local
10 Rule 202(c); and
11 2. Plaintiff shall file a renewed motion or revised notice that complies with Local
12 Rule 202(c) within fourteen (14) days from the date of entry of this order.

13
14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 Dated: December 23, 2024



Dena Coggins
United States District Judge

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28